

Remarks


The amendments requested herein pertain to allowed Claim 40 and are merely (a) to correct a typographic error and (b) to improve syntax, with no change in meaning or scope of this claim. More specifically, the first amendment deletes a letter "t" in line 10, and the second amendment in line 13 merely adds the clarification "which enables the telephone ringer, allowing it to ring" to proceed "when the indicia indicates that the call" is a priority call. This is not new or different matter, since part of claim 41 recites the identical concept "emergency sequence includes enabling the telephone ringer". This text and concept have further antecedent basis in the specification on page 4, lines 12-13, page 5, line 4, page 6, line 26, and page 7, lines 6-8, each being an example of "enabling the ringer".

It is respectfully submitted that the above-noted two amendments correct an inadvertent typographical error and clarify a feature, with no change in scope or meaning. Thus, favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
ABELMAN, FRAYNE & SCHWAB

Dated: June 6, 2007

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
J. David Dainow  
Reg. No. 22,959  
666 Third Avenue, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
Tel.: 212-949-9022  
Fax: 212-949-9190  
E-mail: jdainow@lawabel.com